UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,168	01/03/2006	Fabio Veroni	2789-62	7759	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 02/04/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER			
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	THOMAS, LUCY M			
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2836		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,168	VERONI, FABIO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

-	Lucy Thomas	2030	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ONET CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	TINOT NEI ET WAOTTE	LD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extenunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount cortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	ideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	r form for appeal by materially red	. , ,	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a con NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116	s and 41.33(a)).		
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): $_$			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>12-14</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 15-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered because:	ed but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance
The new limitation recited in Claim 1, "a store" for storing a unclear what applicant meant by or which element is referr			
threshold command storing and receiving capability is clair			
current threshold is disclosed.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>12/31/20</u>	008 No. 0004 570004	IDO 1 4 1

- 13. Other: IDS Documents, JP 61 069324 and Japanese office action of Japanese Application No. 2004-570804, of IDS dated 12/31/2008, are not considered as the English translations are not provided.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Fritz M Fleming/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090130

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to Claim 1 further limits the programmable current threshold commands "that identifies a programmed current threshold" and recites a new limitation," a store" for storing the second current threshold, and would require further consideration and search.